## **END CITIZENS UNITED PAC**

**DEMOCRATS FIGHTING FOR REFORM** 

## 2015 CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM CANDIDATE QUESTIONNAIRE

12 Things Voters Deserve To Know

WWW.ENDCITIZENSUNITED.ORG

## ABOUT END CITIZENS UNITED PAC

Established March 1st, 2015, End Citizens United is a Political Action Committee funded by grassroots donors. We are dedicated to countering the disastrous effects of Citizens United and reforming our campaign finance system. Already, more than 500,000 supporters have joined our movement to fight the unprecedented rise of money polluting our democracy.

Learn more about End Citizens United PAC at www.endcitizensunited.org.

## **ABOUT THIS SURVEY**

Since the Citizens United decision became law, American elections have been inundated by spending, particularly from unregulated "dark" money. End Citizens United, a grassroots effort to reform our campaign finance system, will use this questionnaire and past actions on campaign finance issues to guide our process for selecting candidates to endorse.

There are many avenues to campaign finance reform. We encourage you to use the space provided to explain your answers or ask questions if you so choose. We are, however, unequivocal in our opposition to the Supreme Court's *Citizens United* decision and the opportunities for corruption that it created. We will not stand with any candidate who supports the decision as written.

Kindly return your completed questionnaire by June 23rd. Your answers to this questionnaire as well as any endorsements made by End Citizens United PAC will be released to the public.

STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY	
I, Brad Schneider a candidate	e for office of Representative
in 12-10 certify that	t the answers in this questionnaire
represent my own views.	
Hally Sett Johnach	9/10/15
January en Monneur	
SIGNATURE	DATE

STAFF NAME, PHONE, EMAIL:



Do you	oppose the Supreme Court's decision in <i>Citizens United</i> ?
<b>■</b> YE	ES NO
0	PTIONAL EXPLANATION:
	ke ECU, I am wholly committed to addressing the corrupting influence of the Citizens United decision on ur electoral process.
2	
	you support a Constitutional Amendment that undoes <i>Citizens United</i> and grants Congress and tes the power to regulate campaign finance?
<b>■</b> YE	ES NO
O	PTIONAL EXPLANATION:
At	bsolutely.



Pleas	e indicate if you've co-sponsored or support any of the following campaign finance reform bills:
	GOVERNMENT BY THE PEOPLE ACT
	DISCLOSE ACT
	KEEPING OUR CAMPAIGNS HONEST (K.O.C.H) ACT
	FAIR ELECTIONS NOW ACT
	DEMOCRACY FOR ALL AMENDMENT
LIST	ADDITIONAL LEGISLATION HERE:
	s a co-sponsor of the Democracy for All Amendment in 2013 and in the next Congress would be supportive by of the mentioned legislation were it to come to the floor.
REQUIRE	FULL DISCLOSURE
4	
dono	ou support legislation requiring dark money entities, such as 501(c)(4) groups to disclose their ers and spending when they engage in any political activity that mentions a candidate for federal e and/or is intended to influence a federal election?
	YES NO
	OPTIONAL EXPLANATION:



	Would you support legislation requiring dark money groups to publicly disclose their top ten donors if they spend money on voter contact?		
	YES	NO	
	OPTION	IAL EXPLANATION:	
	I would reported	support holding these groups to the same standards as candidates. Anything over \$200 has to be	
6			
spen you : spen	ding) on	, 2014 the FCC has required that TV stations post their public file (which details political line. Currently this database isn't searchable and doesn't extend to cable providers. Will legislation requiring a searchable database and extending coverage to include cable TV	
		XPLANATION:	



7

Currently a campaign staffer can quit the campaign and work for a SuperPAC supporting the candidate that employed the staffer after just a 120 day cooling off period. Would you support a cycle long cooling off period, preventing that staffer from working for a SuperPAC or 501(C)4 supporting his or her former employer until the next campaign cycle?

■ YES NO
OPTIONAL EXPLANATION:
8
Currently the FEC holds that coordination only flows one way. This means that while a campaign is
forbidden from sharing its plans, methods, and strategies with a SuperPAC, the SuperPAC can share its
plans, methods, and strategies with the campaign. Do you support legislation to end this loophole?
■ YES NO
OPTIONAL EXPLANATION:



29 states currently ban lobbyists from donating during legislative sessions. Do you support legislation to extend this ban to Congress?
■YES NO
OPTIONAL EXPLANATION:
A 2012 study found that "the more companies give in campaign contributions, the more they get in contracts, on average." In fact, the study found that \$200,000 in donations leads to an average addition of 107 government contracts. Would you support legislation that prohibits the executives, lobbyist, and company PAC from donating to federal candidates if the company has or is seeking
federal contracts?
■YES NO
OPTIONAL EXPLANATION:



Please share any additional ways you support meaningful campaign finance reform.
--

Flease share any additional ways you support meaningful campaign infance reform.
EXPLANATION:

- 1. "Limits on Campaign Contributions During the Legislative Session," NCSL, 12/6/2011
- 2. "Campaign contributions help companies get more federal contracts, study finds," Drutman, Sunlight Foundation, 6/21/2012

PAID FOR BY END CITIZENS UNITED AND NOT AUTHORIZED BY ANY CANDIDATE OR CANDIDATE'S COMMITTEE

